EXHIBIT 5

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs. Case No.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; 17-cv-00939-WHA
OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO
TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF PAUL FRENCH

San Francisco, California

Tuesday, October 3, 2017

Volume I

REPORTED BY:

REBECCA L. ROMANO, RPR, CSR No. 12546 JOB NO. 2715705

PAGES 1 - 276

```
Page 82
     request)."
1
2
               Do you see that?
3
          Α.
               I do.
               You didn't ask to see any of the systems
 5
     that were available for inspection, correct?
 6
          Α.
               Not as it relates to evaluating this
7
     report, no.
8
               Even though you saw that that was among
9
     the materials that Mr. Faulkner considered,
10
     correct?
11
               MR. BAKER: Objection to form.
               THE DEPONENT: Well, I -- that's the way
12
13
     I took that statement. I just took a statement as
14
     open-ended. If in the future they wanted
15
     additional inspections or additional work done,
16
     they could request it. Waymo could request it.
17
          Q.
               (By Ms. Ray) But you didn't ask Waymo to
18
     request inspections so that you could look at Uber
19
     systems, correct?
20
               Not for this report, no.
          Α.
21
               And if you look at page 5 of
          Ο.
22
     Exhibit 2997, Mr. Faulkner's report.
23
               I'm sorry. What -- what was that again?
          Α.
24
          Q.
              Page 5.
25
               Page 5.
          Α.
```

Page 83 1 The last bullet point, it says Q. 2 "Relativity instance hosted by Stroz Friedberg," and then it names the relativity instance, 3 "(Available for further inspection upon Waymo's 5 request)." 6 Do you see that? 7 Α. I do. 8 You didn't ask to see that relativity Q. 9 instance, did you? 10 I did not, no. Α. And then you see there's another 11 Q. 12 relativity instance listed above that was also 13 available for inspection upon Waymo's request. 14 You also didn't ask to inspect that, 15 correct? 16 Α. Correct. I wasn't sure if that was 17 already done or not. 18 Q. Okay. When you say you weren't sure 19 whether that was done or not, you mean by Waymo's 20 counsel? 21 A. Correct. 22 Did you ask Waymo's counsel whether it 23 had been done or not? 24 Α. I did not, no.

And you see on page 5, the sixth bullet

25

Q.

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2104-5 Filed 10/25/17 Page 5 of 5 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 I, Rebecca L. Romano, a Certified Shorthand 2. Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 3 certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 5 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 6 7 prior to testifying, were administered an oath; 8 that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter 9 transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing 10 11 transcript is true record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 13 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review 15 of the transcript [] was [x] was not requested. 16 I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee 17 18 of any attorney or any party to this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 19 subscribed my name. 2.0 21 Dated: October 4, 2017 2.2 23 24 Rebecca L. Romano, RPR, CSR. No 12546 25